Chinese Festivals In Hong Kong - Compiled Evaluation Report

Three peer reviewers rated each of the following evaluation items. The results below show the averages of their ratings, ranging from the lowest of one star (★= Strongly Disagree) to the most favorable rating of 5 stars (★★★★★=Strongly Agree).

Interface Design

1. The navigation system is easy to use.	★★★★¾
2. The information, graphics, etc. are uncluttered.	★★★★¾
3. The Learning Object uses highly readable colours, fonts and text sizes.	★★★★¾
Average Rating for The Interface Design*	★★★★¾

4. Comments about the Interface Design (Optional):

Quality of Content

5. The Learning Object is free of spelling and grammatical errors.	★★★★¼
6. The Learning Object is free of informational errors.	★★★★¼
7. The content of this Learning Object is up-to-date.	★★★★¾
8. The audio and visuals are of good quality.	★★★★★
9. The content is well organized and all information can be easily located.	★★★★★
Average Rating for The Quality of Content*	★★★★¾

10. Comments about the Quality of the Content (Optional):

Learning Potential

11. The LO will aid students' understanding of the concept or topic being presented.	★★★★¾
12. The Learning Object provides opportunities for higher-order thinking.	★★★★
13. Learners are required to use the Learning Object in an interactive way.	★★★★
14. The Learning Object could be easily incorporated into various course structures.	★★★★
Average Rating for The Learning Potential*	★★★★¼

15. Comments about the Learning Potential (Optional):

Quality of the LRC Record

16. An accurate web address to the Learning Object is given.	★★★★¾
17. The technical requirements detailed in the LRC are accurate.	★★★★¼
18. The educational aims/goals are well described in the LRC.	★★★★
19. The educational level is clearly identified in the LRC record.	★★★★
20. The author has given enough information for users to effectively and efficiently use the Learning Object.	★★★★
21. Overall, the information given in the LRC record accurately matches the Learning Object.	★★★★
Average Rating for The Quality of the LRC Record*	★★★★¼

22. Comments about the Resource Record (Optional):

General Comments

23. General Comments about the Learning Object (Optional):

Reviewer 1: It is a well researched, well presented and user-friendly project. If the University could support the team to conduct a thorough research and presentation of the festival in HK, researchersand the general public will certainly be benefitted from this high quality project. It will be a great contribution to the understanding of the cultural heritage of Hong Kong. To add some icing, I would suggest the team to add to more information: (a) a location map and (b) transportation guide

Average Overall Rating*	★★★★½

*Rounded to the nearest 0.25

Chinese Festivals in Hong Kong - Reviewer 1

Reviewers were asked to rate each of the following evaluation items. The results below show their ratings ranging from the lowest of one star (★= Strongly Disagree) to the most favorable rating of 5 stars (★★★★★=Strongly Agree).

Interface Design

1. The navigation system is easy to use.	★★★★★
2. The information, graphics, etc. are uncluttered.	★★★★★
3. The Learning Object uses highly readable colours, fonts and text sizes.	★★★★★
Average Rating for The Interface Design*	★★★★★

4. Comments about the Interface Design (Optional):

Quality of Content

5. The Learning Object is free of spelling and grammatical errors.	★★★★★
6. The Learning Object is free of informational errors.	★★★★★
7. The content of this Learning Object is up-to-date.	★★★★★
8. The audio and visuals are of good quality.	★★★★★
9. The content is well organized and all information can be easily located.	★★★★★
Average Rating for The Quality of Content*	★★★★★

10. Comments about the Quality of the Content (Optional):

Learning Potential

11. The LO will aid students' understanding of the concept or topic being presented.	★★★★★
12. The Learning Object provides opportunities for higher-order thinking.	★★★★★
13. Learners are required to use the Learning Object in an interactive way.	★★★★★
14. The Learning Object could be easily incorporated into various course structures.	★★★★★
Average Rating for The Learning Potential*	★★★★★

15. Comments about the Learning Potential (Optional):

Quality of the LRC Record

16. An accurate web address to the Learning Object is given.	★★★★★
17. The technical requirements detailed in the LRC are accurate.	★★★★★
18. The educational aims/goals are well described in the LRC.	★★★★★
19. The educational level is clearly identified in the LRC record.	★★★★★
20. The author has given enough information for users to effectively and efficiently use the Learning Object.	★★★★★
21. Overall, the information given in the LRC record accurately matches the Learning Object.	★★★★★
Average Rating for The Quality of the LRC Record*	★★★★★

22. Comments about the Resource Record (Optional):
0

General Comments

23. General Comments about the Learning Object (Optional):

It is a well researched, well presented and user-friendly project. If the University could support the team to conduct a thorough research and presentation of the festival in HK, researchersand the general public will certainly be benefitted from this high quality project. It will be a great contribution to the understanding of the cultural heritage of Hong Kong. To add some icing, I would suggest the team to add to more information: (a) a location map and (b) transportation guide

Average Overall Rating*	★★★★★

*Rounded to the nearest 0.25

Chinese Festivals in Hong Kong - Reviewer 2

Reviewers were asked to rate each of the following evaluation items. The results below show their ratings ranging from the lowest of one star (★= Strongly Disagree) to the most favorable rating of 5 stars (★★★★★=Strongly Agree).

Interface Design

1. The navigation system is easy to use.	★★★★
2. The information, graphics, etc. are uncluttered.	★★★★
3. The Learning Object uses highly readable colours, fonts and text sizes.	★★★★★
Average Rating for The Interface Design*	★★★★¼

4. Comments about the Interface Design (Optional):

Quality of Content

5. The Learning Object is free of spelling and grammatical errors.	★★★★
6. The Learning Object is free of informational errors.	★★★★
7. The content of this Learning Object is up-to-date.	★★★★
8. The audio and visuals are of good quality.	★★★★★
9. The content is well organized and all information can be easily located.	★★★★★
Average Rating for The Quality of Content*	★★★★½

10. Comments about the Quality of the Content (Optional):

Learning Potential

11. The LO will aid students' understanding of the concept or topic being presented.	★★★★
12. The Learning Object provides opportunities for higher-order thinking.	★★★
13. Learners are required to use the Learning Object in an interactive way.	★★★
14. The Learning Object could be easily incorporated into various course structures.	★★★
Average Rating for The Learning Potential*	★★★¼

15. Comments about the Learning Potential (Optional):

Quality of the LRC Record

16. An accurate web address to the Learning Object is given.	★★★★★
17. The technical requirements detailed in the LRC are accurate.	★★★★★
18. The educational aims/goals are well described in the LRC.	★★★★
19. The educational level is clearly identified in the LRC record.	★★★★
20. The author has given enough information for users to effectively and efficiently use the Learning Object.	★★★★
21. Overall, the information given in the LRC record accurately matches the Learning Object.	★★★★
Average Rating for The Quality of the LRC Record*	★★★★¼

22. Comments about the Resource Record (Optional):

General Comments

23. General Comments about the Learning Object (Optional):

Average Overall Rating*	★★★★

*Rounded to the nearest 0.25

Chinese Festivals in Hong Kong - Reviewer 3

Reviewers were asked to rate each of the following evaluation items. The results below show their ratings ranging from the lowest of one star (★= Strongly Disagree) to the most favorable rating of 5 stars (★★★★★=Strongly Agree).

Interface Design

1. The navigation system is easy to use.	★★★★★
2. The information, graphics, etc. are uncluttered.	★★★★★
3. The Learning Object uses highly readable colours, fonts and text sizes.	★★★★
Average Rating for The Interface Design*	★★★★¾

4. Comments about the Interface Design (Optional):

Quality of Content

5. The Learning Object is free of spelling and grammatical errors.	★★★★
6. The Learning Object is free of informational errors.	★★★★
7. The content of this Learning Object is up-to-date.	★★★★★
8. The audio and visuals are of good quality.	★★★★★
9. The content is well organized and all information can be easily located.	★★★★★
Average Rating for The Quality of Content*	★★★★½

10. Comments about the Quality of the Content (Optional):

Learning Potential

11. The LO will aid students' understanding of the concept or topic being presented.	★★★★★
12. The Learning Object provides opportunities for higher-order thinking.	★★★★
13. Learners are required to use the Learning Object in an interactive way.	★★★★
14. The Learning Object could be easily incorporated into various course structures.	★★★★
Average Rating for The Learning Potential*	★★★★¼

15. Comments about the Learning Potential (Optional):

Quality of the LRC Record

16. An accurate web address to the Learning Object is given.	★★★★
17. The technical requirements detailed in the LRC are accurate.	★★★
18. The educational aims/goals are well described in the LRC.	★★★
19. The educational level is clearly identified in the LRC record.	★★★
20. The author has given enough information for users to effectively and efficiently use the Learning Object.	★★★
21. Overall, the information given in the LRC record accurately matches the Learning Object.	★★★
Average Rating for The Quality of the LRC Record*	★★★¼

22. Comments about the Resource Record (Optional):

General Comments

23. General Comments about the Learning Object (Optional):

Average Overall Rating*	★★★★

*Rounded to the nearest 0.25